IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE C.V.RAMULU

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 22690 of 2005

Between:

G.D. Reddy, S/o. Ramachandra Reddy,
R/o. 2-11-130/5/2, Srinagar Colony,

Uppal, R.R. District, Hyderabad,

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. APSRTC, Musheerabad, Hyderabad,

Rep. by its Managing Director.

2. The Depot Manager, APSRTC, Bus Depot,

Rajendernagar, R.R. District, Hyderabad,

...RESPONDENTS

* * *

ORDER:

This writ petition has been filed seeking a writ of Certiorari to quash the suspension order No.02/95(69)/05-RJNR dated 17.10.2005 on the file of the Depot Manager, APSRTC, Rajendranagar Depot,

Ranga Reddy District, pending enquiry into the charges alleged against the petitioner.

2. Except saying that the charge was a trivial in its nature and no misconduct worth the name has been committed by the petitioner and only on mere apprehension the charge sheet was issued and he was placed under suspension and this amounts to colourable exercise of power, therefore, the suspension order needs to be set aside, the petitioner has not made out any case in support of his contention.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner also, in this regard, relied upon an unreported judgment in W.P.No.10521 of 1996 dated 05th August 1996 and tried to impress upon the Court that this is also one such case where the misconduct is of trivial in nature, therefore, there is no necessity to keep the petitioner under suspension.

4. Except saying that there is a colourable exercise of power and mere apprehension of some misconduct the suspension order was passed, no arguments are advanced. This is not a case of lacking inherent jurisdiction in the authority, which passed the suspension order or there was any *mala fides* attributed.

5. I am of the opinion that the petitioner has not made out any case to interfere with the suspension order passed on 17.10.2005 by the Depot Manager, APSRTC, Rajendranagar. The writ petition is devoid of merits and is liable to be dismissed.

6. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

_____

C.V.RAMULU, J.

Date: 21st October 2005

BSB